From complex "real-world" waveforms to Artificial Test Waveforms: A time-domain modelling approach

Authors: Marco Azpúrua; Marc Pous Affiliation: Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) marco.azpurua@upc.edu; marc.pous@upc.edu







UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA BARCELONATECH

Objective









UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA BARCELONATECH

Overview









Methodology: Modelling

Third step: Developing a waveform model that accurately represent such interfering waveforms with a reduced number of features.



Waveform Model Algorithm [3]

Decomposition: Pulsed events are extracted from the measured waveforms.

Fitting: The pulses are modelled through optimum piece-wise linear segments defined in between change points •.

Simplification: A minimum number of change points • are selected to preserve the waveform shape.

[3] B. ten Have et al., "Waveform Model to Characterize Time-Domain Pulses Resulting in EMI on Static Energy Meters," IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility (Early Access).







Methodology: Modelling

Fourth step: Defining a reduced set of waveform parameters that can be calculated for the modelled waveform and that are strongly correlated to interferences in static meters.

1500

1000



Narrow, fast rising, pulses, where, on average, higher crest factor, narrower pulse width, higher peak amplitude, less charge, and higher slopes, will contribute to an increase in the metering error [3].



- Outliers

Maximum rising slope (A/us)



Pulse duration



[3] B. ten Have et al., "Waveform Model to Characterize Time-Domain Pulses Resulting in EMI on Static Energy Meters," IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility (Early Access).







UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA BARCELONATECH

Methodology: Modelling

Fifth step: Estimate the metering errors produced by the interfering waveforms measured in the onsite scenarios.









Results: Artificial Test Waveforms

Final step: Define a representative set of low complexity waveforms that could be used to test the immunity of static meters when subject to this type of interference.

Single trapezoidal pulses, or a combination Waveform 22 - Waveform 23 - Waveform 24 - Waveform 25 of trapezoids, fitted to reproduce the critical 14 € ¹² $\widehat{\leq}^{12}$ € 12 te 10 waveform parameter correlated to te 10 분 10 Curr ី interference in static meters 5.5 8.5 9.5 10 8.5 6.5 9.5 Time (ms) Time (ms) Time (ms) Time (ms) Heter(m) PA dl/dt Q *1nk*[↑] **L**duration (µs) 12 (µs) 13 (µs) 14 (µs) 15 (µs) 10 100 50 150 80 Waveform CF (mC) Δ/us (degrees) Δ ms Current, i(t)4.9 2.32 0.828 160 22 6.78 9 2.12 23 6.0 6.88 10.8 0.892 145 24 6.6 6.16 1.93 9.6 1.103 102 25 4.8 9.05 2.78 16.4 0.431 160 $t_0 t_1$ t_2 t_3 t_4 t_5 Time, t







Conclusion



- Interfering waveforms have been characterized in laboratory conditions. Their associated errors are accurately known.
- Parametric waveform models allowed to describe such waveforms accurately and reduced their complexity.
- Interfering waveforms have been encountered in the onsite.
- Artificial test waveforms based methodology presented have been defined for standardizing new immunity test for the static meters.







From complex "real-world" EMI to Artificial Test Waveforms: A time-domain modelling approach

Authors: **Marco Azpúrua**; Marc Pous Affiliation: Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) <u>marco.azpurua@upc.edu</u>; <u>marc.pous@upc.edu</u>





